9200218

THE UNITED STATES OF ANTERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

Therens, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED, PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR PORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE PURPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT OD BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

ALFALFA

'5454'

In Testimony Phereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Pariety Arotection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this thirty-first day of August in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-five.

Acting Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Syricultural Marketing Service AliNama Stretury of Syriculture

ru... rting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or bepartment of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (DMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTUR AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVIC				dication is required in order to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PRO	TECTION	CERTIFICATE	cert	iticate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). rmation is held confidential until iticate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATIO EXPERIMENTAL NO.	N OR 3.	VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.		XAL06		5454
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)	*********	5. PHONE (include area code		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
7305 N. W. 62nd Ave., P. O. Box 287		E1E 070 2240	PVP	O NUMBER
Johnston, IA 50131		515–270–3340		9200218
			F	Date (22, 1992
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7. FAMILY	Y NAME (Botania	[- L	Thie
Medicago sativa Leg	uminosae	!	G G	1:18 AM. P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	F	Filing and Examination Fee:
Alfalfa	· /	August, 1988	E S	s 2150, 50
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION (C	Corporation, par	nership, association, etc.)	R E	June 19, 1992
Corporation			C	: 250 . 50 = \$50 00
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION		TE OF INCORPORATION	1	1 230,
Iowa	19	26	E	May 31, 1995
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN T		the state of the s		-
William T. W. Woodward, 7305 N. W. 62nd Ave.		•		50131
John Hintze, 700 Capital Square, 400 Locust Mike Roth, 700 Capital Square, 400 Locust St.			50309 50309	
Title Room, Too capital square, 400 hocast be	ICCO, DC	PHONE (Include I		
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (FORCE INSTRUC	CTIONS on reve			
a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.				
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.		•		
c. x Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety. d. x Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.				
e Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership.				
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed Sample m	ailed to Plant	Variety Protection Office	-8-	22
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Treasurer o	I the United S	lates."		
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARI	_	Y AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SI	ED? (Soo soc	tion 83(s) of the Plant Variety
YES (If "YES," answer items 16 and 17 bolow) 15 DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO		O ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF	PRODUCTION	BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?				
☐ YES ☐ NO	: [_] FO	L NOITADNU	REGISTERED	C CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE	HE U.S.?			
YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act Pater	nt Act. Give da	:le:}		
∑ NO				•
19 HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKETED	IN THE U.S. OR	OTHER COUNTRIES?		
YES (II "YES," give names of countries and dates)				
√ NO				
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds of thi	is variety wil	l be furnished with the ap	plication as	nd will be replenished upon
request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable.	•			
The undersigned applicants) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled to prote	reproduced ection under (novel plant variety, and he provisions of section 42	believe(s) t of the Plan	hat the variety is distinct, t Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can je		•		-
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR	TITLE		DATE
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.			·	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Ownpris)]	CAPACITY OR	TITLE		DATE
By ATIA		r, Department of		
" W./. W. W vodward	Alfalfa	Breeding		6-8-92

FORM CSSD-470 (5-89). Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-86, is obsolete

EXHIBIT A

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF THE VARIETY

154541

5454 is a 12 clone synthetic with clones replicated in "cage isolation". Seed was harvested from each clone and bulked in equal quantities to produce Syn 1 prebreeder seed. Syn 2 seed harvested from 200 random plants in "cage isolation" in 1988 is considered breeder seed. One or more of the parental clones were selected on the basis of clonal evaluation for forage and seed yield, bacterial wilt, anthracnose, Phytophthora root rot, Verticillium wilt, spotted alfalfa aphid, pea aphid and stem nematode. Clonal selection for forage yield was based on OP progeny row tests harvested over several locations. Parental clones trace back through several intermediate experimental lines to Apollo, NCMP-1, Saranac AR, Anchor, Atra 55, 532, 521, 531, 520, 530, DuPuits, Vernal, Narragansett, Culver, Maryland, Dawson, Iroquois, MSA and other germplasms with minor contributions. Germplasm sources are: M. falcata (2%), Ladak (3%), M. varia (14%), Turkistan (2%), Flemish (41%), Chilean (2%), with (36%) unknown.

5454 has been stable and uniform over time with traits being stable over 3 generations of seed multiplication. Multiplication procedures will insure that seed being sold as 5454 will not be shifted in characteristics beyond presently acceptable limits for alfalfa varieties. Syn 2 seed harvested from individual plants in "cage isolation" in 1988 is considered breeder seed.

It is confirmed that 5454 meets presently acceptable levels for uniformity for alfalfa varieties.

EXHIBIT B

NOVELTY STATEMENT

'5454'

5454 most clearly resembles the variety '5364'. 5454 differs from 5364 in anthracnose resistance, being classified as having high resistance, while 5364 has moderate resistance to the disease as shown below.

DISEASE RESISTANCE	Variety	Syn. Gen	Percent Resistant Plants	Number of Plants Tested	A S I	ASI LSD .05	Institution Year, Location, Field or Laboratory
Anthracnose, Race 1 (Colletotrichum trifolii)	Application HR ARC (HR) Saranac (S) 5364 (MR)	2	73.0 65.0 0.0 19.5	~300 - ~300 ~300 ~300 ~300		% Resisant plants	Pioneer Hi- Bred Interna- tional, Inc. Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989

Scoring system: Percent surviving seedlings. Data adjusted to ARC at 65% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

			'E DESCRIPTION A <i>(Medicago sativa s</i> e				
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)			TEMPORARY	DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME		
Pioneer Hi-Bred I	nternati	ona1, Inc	x X	AL06	5454		
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No.	o., City, State, and Zi	p Codel			FO	R OFFICIAL USE ONL	.Y
7305 N. W. 62nd A Johnston, IA 5	ve., P. 0131	0. Box 28	37		PVPO NUMBER	00218	
PLEASE READ ALL INSTRUCTIO application variety. Data for quanti titative data. Comparative data show e.g., The Munsell Plant Tissue Color	tative plant charac old be determined	ters should be based	l on a minimum of 1	00 plants. Include le	ading zeros when nec	essary (e.g., 0 8	9) for quan
1. WINTERHARDINESS:							
3 = 5 = 7 - 9 =	(Du Puits) (Ranger) Extremely Winterhal	Winterhardy (Mesilla) rdy (Norseman)	6 = Moderately 8 = Winterhardy	hardy (Lahontan) Winterhardy (Saranac) (Vernal)			
TES	T LOCATION: Ma	rkesan, W	II; Arling	ton, WI			
2, FALL DORMANCY:							
	F./	LL DORMANCY (DETERMINED FRO	·	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TESTING INSTITUTION	DATE OF	DATE REGROWTH	•	REGROWTH SCORE C			-
AND LOCATION	LAST CUT	SCORED	APPLICATION VARIETY	Vernal	Ranger	Saranac	LSD .05
Pioneer Hi-Bred				VCLIIGI	Ranger	baranac	1
International, Inc.	9/90	10/90	11.3	8.4	9.7	11.8	1.4
Johnston, IA			. •				
	ned from Fall Dorms Erect (CUF 101) Semidecumbent (Ver CUT (In Southwest, (CUF 101) (Norseman)	incy Trials} 3 = Ser nal) 9 = De first cut after March 2 3 = Far	mierect (Mesilla) cumbent (Norseman)	5 = Intermedial	te (Saranac)	7 = Slow (Vernal)	
4. AREAS OF ADAPTATION IN U.S. (W	here tested and prov	en adapted):				٠.	:
Primary Area of Adaptation				1 6 o	her Areas of Adaptation		. ,
1 = North Cent 5 = Moderately 8 = Other <i>(Spe</i>	Winterhardy Interm	2 = East Central ountain	3 = So 6 = Winterhardy Inte	· ·	4 = Southwest 5 7 = Great Plains		3
Days Earlier Than		vers at time of first spri	•	2 = Mesilla	3 ≈ Saranac 4 ≈	• Vernal 5 ≈ N	lorseman
	TEST LOCATION:						

from healthy reproveth 3						
		ring cut, controlling k				9200218
•	2 = Dark Green I		3 = Light Green (F	•		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 	
						·
from spaced plantings):	•	•				•
pes: 1 = Broad (Ve	ernal}	2 = Intermediate (Sa	ranac) 3	3 = Narrow (CI	UF 101) .	
4 * Creeping i	Rooted (Rangela	inder}	5 = Rhizomatous	(Rhizoma)		
te (requency of plants for ea	urb color class as	defined by USDA An	elaulterent Handback I	No. 424 [Date	as 1972) allowing all	plants In plot to flavority
	CO COIOI CLESS 43	h h				plants in plot to flowers:
olet (Subclasses 1,1 to 1,4)		<u>0 p</u>	X Blue (Subcla	isses 2.3 and 2	.4}	
her Than Blue (Subclasses 2.	.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.9	91	t % Yellow (Sub	classes 4.1 to	4.4]	•
3)			% White (Class	5)		
N:Johnstor	ı, IA; C	onnell, WA	<u>[c]</u>			•
				-		
			Aost-bollius (eg tacem	e11:		
(One or more cails, center r	more or less class	ed)	Loosely Coil	led (One or mo	ore coils, conter comp	icuously open)
en 1 coil)	4		TEST LOCATI	ION:		
In the appropriate column,	, trial data for ap	plication variety, and	resistant (R) and sus-	ceptible (S) ch	eck variaties, synthet	ic generation tested, average severity
.cores (ASI), least significant tion. Describe scoring eveter	t difference stati: m. sod anv test r	stics (LSO, 05), the in	estitution in charge of	test, year, and	l location of test, and	whether test is a field or laboratory
ns should be presented what	never available o	n a separate documen	t as Exhibit D.			•
of the check varieties and per . Although compalisons wit	rmplesm lines lis: In check varieties	ted below can be obt: : listed below are pref	lined from the USDA erred, comparisons wi	Field Crops L ith any approx	aboratory, Bkig, 001, Histo check variety re	Rm, 335, BARC-West, Beltsville, MD ; commended by Elgin (1982) may be
ted,						
	SYN. GEN.	PERCENT	NUMBER OF		. ASI	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION,
VARIETY	TESTED.	RESISTANT PLANTS	PLANTS TESTED	ASI	LSD .05	FIELD OR LABORATORY
 			~	ļ		
Application HR	2 ·	73.0	300	<u>}</u>	% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred
<u> </u>				l	44	• _
1				[tant	International, Inc.
Arc (R)		65.0	II .		plants	International, Inc. Johnston, IA
Arc (R)		65.0	II .			1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Arc (R) Saranac (S)		65.0 0.0	11		plants	Johnston, IA
<u> </u>	Percent s	0.0	11	Data	plants 18.4	Johnston, IA Laboratory
Saranac (S)		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Presistant pla		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Presistant pla		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P resistant pla Application Saranac AR (R)		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S)		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P resistant pla Application Saranac AR (R)		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S)		0.0	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM:	ints by I	0.0 surviving s Pioneer Hi-	" seedlings. -Bred Inter	rnation	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc.	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65%
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM:		0.0 surviving	" seedlings.		plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc.	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65% University of
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM:	ints by I	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi-	" seedlings. -Bred Inter	2.18	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resis-	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65% University of Minnesota
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R	ints by I	0.0 surviving s Pioneer Hi-	seedlingsBred Inter	rnation	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R	ints by I	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi-	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragansett (S)	ants by I	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi-	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragansett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1	2 ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 ored 0 and	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 O ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991 =no disease and 5=
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragansett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1 dead plant) c	ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 bred 0 and ed resistar	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 o ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragansett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1	ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 bred 0 and ed resistar	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 O ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991 =no disease and 5=
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Presistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragamett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1 dead plant) c by the Univer	ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 bred 0 and ed resistar	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 O ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991 =no disease and 5=
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P Cesistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragansett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1 dead plant) c	ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 bred 0 and ed resistar	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 O ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991 =no disease and 5=
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Presistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragamett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1 dead plant) c by the Univer	ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 bred 0 and ed resistar	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 O ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991 =no disease and 5=
Saranac (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Presistant pla Application Saranac AR (R) Arc (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application R Vernal (R) Narragamett (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P1 dead plant) c by the Univer	ants sco	0.0 surviving spioneer Hi- 47.8 42.0 0.8 bred 0 and ed resistar	seedlingsBred Inter	2.18 2.22 4.00 -5 scale	plants 18.4 adjusted t al, Inc. 0.45 % Resistant Plants 16.5 e, where 0	Johnston, IA Laboratory 1989 O ARC at 65% University of Minnesota Rosemount, MN Field 1991 =no disease and 5=
	from speced plantings): pes: 1 = Broad (V. 4 = Creeping the frequency of plants for each ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2 3) IN: JOHNSTOR (One or more coils, center of the coils) in the appropriate column scores (ASI), least significant scoring systems should be presented when if the check varieties and ge. Although compatisons with	from spaced plantings): pes: 1 = Broad (Vernal) 4 = Creeping Rooted (Rangels te frequency of plants for each color class as plet (Subclasses 1,1 to 1,4) ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1, 2,2, 2,5 to 2,3) phy: Johnston, IA; C uency of plants with the following pod shap (One or more coils, center more or less close an 1 coil) e in the appropriate column, trial data for ap scores (ASI), least significant difference stati tion. Describe scoring system, and any test p ms should be presented whenever available o of the check varieties and permplasm lines ils Although compalisons with check varieties ted. VARIETY SYN, GEN, TESTED	from spaced plantings): pes: 1 = Broad (Vernal) 2 = Intermediate (Sa 4 = Creeping Rooted (Rangelander) te frequency of plants for each color class as defined by USDA Ag olet (Subclasses 1,1 to 1,4) ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1, 2,2, 2,5 to 2,9) in: Johnston, IA; Connell, WA (One or more coils, center more or less closed) an 1 coil) in the appropriate column, trial data for application variety, and coores (ASI), least significant difference statistics (LSD, 05), the interpretation of the check varieties and permplesm lines listed below can be obtained to the check varieties and permplesm lines listed below can be obtained. VARIETY SYN. GEN. PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	from spaced plantings): pes: 1 = Broad (Vernal) 2 = Intermediate (Saranac) 4 = Creeping Rooted (Rangelander) 5 = Rhizomatous the frequency of plants for each color class as defined by USDA Agricultural Handbook olet (Subclasses 1,1 to 1,4) ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1, 2,2, 2,5 to 2,9) ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1, 2,2, 2,5 to 2,9) the White (Class 3) The Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1, 2,2, 2,5 to 2,9) The Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1, 2,2,2,5 to 2,9) The Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1,2,2,2,2,5 to 2,9) The Than Blue (Subclasses 2,1,2,2,2,2,5 to 2,9) The Than Blue (S	from speced plantings): pes: 1 = Broad (Vernal) 2 = Intermediate (Saranac) 3 = Narrow (Cl. 4 = Creeping Rooted (Rangelander) 5 = Rhizomatous (Rhizoma) te frequency of plants for each color class as defined by USDA Agricultural Handbook No. 424 (Barn olet (Subclasses 1.1 to 1.4) polet (Subclasses 1.1 to 1.4) ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.9) therefore the Subclasses 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.9) the Yellow (Subclasses 2.3 and 2 to 3) The Subclasses 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.9) the White (Class 5) The Subclasses 2.1 to 3.4 to 4 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to 5 to	from spaced plantings!: pes: 1 = Broad (Vernal) 2 = Intermediate (Saranac) 3 = Narrow (CUF 101) 4 = Creeping Rooted (Rangelander) 5 = Rhizomatous (Rhizoma) te frequency of plants for each color class as defined by USDA Agricultural Handbook No. 424 (Barnes 1972), allowing all colet (Subclasses 1.1 to 1.4) OD 4 % Blue (Subclasses 2.3 and 2.4) ther Than Blue (Subclasses 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.9) Let % Yellow (Subclasses 4.1 to 4.4) Therefore the Blue (Subclasses 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.9) Let % Yellow (Subclasses 4.1 to 4.4) Therefore the Blue (S

.. FORM LS-470-32 (4-85)

PAGE 2 OF 5

	ntinued):	7	1			1	T
DISEASE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Downy Mildew (Peranospore trifoliorum)	Application					,	
Isolate, if known:	Serenec (R)						
• • • • •	Kanza (S)		<u> </u>				
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Fuserium Wilt (Fuserium oxysporum 1. medicaginis)	Application HR	. 2	59.3	~225 ·	2.37	0.66	University of Minnesota
·	MMMMMMM Aga	te (R)	54.0	11	2.71	% Resis- tant	1991 Rosemount, MN
•	мм. ^{(в} усижими)			11	4.69	Plants	Field
	SCORING SYSTEM: P	lants sc	ored 0 and	1 (on a 1-	-5 scal	e where 0=	no disease and 5=
	dead plant)	conside	red resist	tant. Data	adjust	ed to Agat	e at 54% resistant
Phytophthora Root Rot (Phytophthora megasperma	plants by the Application HR	ie Univei 2	56.5	~225	}	-	
1, medicaginis)	Agate (R)		43.0	11		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred International, I
	Seranac (S)		4.8	II		17.7	1989 Quarryville, PA
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SCORING SYSTEM: PE	ercent h	ealthy sec	dlinas. Da	ıadi	usted to A	Laboratory gate at 43.0%
	resistant p				_		
Verticillium Wilt (Verticillium alboatrum)	Application MR	2	22.8	~225	2.69	0.55	Pioneer Hi-Bred International, I
	Vertus (R)		40.8	11	3.16	% Resis-	1989 Arlington, WI
	Saranac (S)		3.9	11	1.60	Plants	Laboratory
	plant) consi	dered re	esistant.	Data adjus	sted to		isease and l=dead 40% resistant
Other (Specify)	plants by Pi Application	i				0.70	
PHAN <u>OMYCES ROOT RO</u> T		2	8.3	~225	3.01	% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred International, In
	<i>[</i> 2]	ì					THICETHACTOHAT, TH
	WAPH-1	R	50.0	11	5.56	tant	1990
	(s) Agate	S	1.2	11	1.82	Plants 6.0	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory
	(S) Agate	S lants sc	1.2 ored 7-9	" (on a 1-9 s	1.82	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no o	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots
Other (Specify)	usi Agate scoring system: p or hypocotyl:	S lants sc s and l=	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan	(on a 1-9 s	1.82 cale wh	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no d istant. Da	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots
Other (Specify)	usi Agate scoring system: p or hypocotyl:	S lants sc s and l=	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan	(on a 1-9 s	1.82 cale wh	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no d istant. Da	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots
Other (Specify)	usi Agate scoring system: p or hypocotyl:	S lants sc s and l=	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan	(on a 1-9 s	1.82 cale wh	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no d istant. Da	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots
	si Agate sconing system: p or hypocotyl WAPH-1 at 50	S lants sc s and l=	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan	(on a 1-9 s	1.82 cale wh	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no d istant. Da	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots
	wapri-1 (s) Agate scoring system: p or hypocoty1: WAPH-1 at 50;	S lants sc s and l=	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan	(on a 1-9 s	1.82 cale wh	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no d istant. Da	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots
B. INSECT RESISTANCE:	wapri-1 (s) Agate sconing system: p or hypocotyl WAPH-1 at 50 (R) (S)	S lants sc s and 1= % resist	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan ant plant	(on a 1-9 s	1.82 cale wh	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no distant. Da ed Interna	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots ata adjusted to ational, Inc.
B. INSECT RESISTANCE: INSECT Alfalfa Weavil	WAPFI-I (s) Agate scoring system: p or hypocotyl WAPH-1 at 50 (R) (S) scoring system: VARIETY	S lants sc s and 1= % resist	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan ant plant	(on a 1-9 st) considers by Pionee	1.82 cale whed resi	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no distant. De ded Interna	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots ata adjusted to ational, Inc.
B. INSECT RESISTANCE: INSECT	WAPT-1 (s) Agate scoring system: p or hypocoty1: WAPH-1 at 50; (R) (S) SCORING SYSTEM: VARIETY Application	S lants sc s and 1= % resist	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan ant plant	(On a 1-9 st) considered by Pionee	1.82 cale whed resi	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no distant. Da ed Interna	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots ata adjusted to ational, Inc.
B. INSECT RESISTANCE: INSECT Alfalfa Weavil	WAPFI-I (s) Agate scoring system: p or hypocotyl WAPH-1 at 50 (R) (S) scoring system: VARIETY	S lants sc s and 1= % resist SYN. GEN. TESTED	1.2 ored 7-9 dead plan ant plant	(On a 1-9 st) consider s by Pionee	1.82 cale whed resi	Plants 6.0 nere 9=no distant. Da ed Interna	1990 Arlington, WI Laboratory damage to roots ata adjusted to ational, Inc.

	Continued):		SERGENT	1		Ţ	1
INSECT	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT SEEDLING SURVIVAL	NUMBER OF SEEDLINGS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Blue Alfalfa Aphid (Acyrthosiphòn kondoi)	Application						Sequence of
	CUF 101 (R)						
	PA-1.(S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Pea Aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum)	Application R	2	44.3	~225		% Resis- tant	Pioneer Hi-Bred International, In
	xxxxx Baker	(R)	45.0	t1		Plants	1991 Johnston, IA
	xxxxxx (*) Vernal	(s)	4.0	31		10.2	Laboratory
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and 1=dead r						, symptomless plan Baker at 45%
Spotted Alfalfa Aphid .	resistant p	ants by	Pioneer H	-Bred Inte	rnatio	hal, Inc.	ł
(Therioaphis maculata)	R	2	42.2	~ 225		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred
Biotype, if known:	፠፠፠፞ ቹ Baker	(R)	50.0	11		Plants 14.4	International, 1991
	xxxxxii Arc (-	0.0	П			Kerman, CA Laboratory
	scoring system: F plant) consi	dered re	esistant.	Data adjust	scale where the lead to I	nere 9=no 6 Baker at 50	damage and 1=dead)% resistant plant:
INSECT	by Pioneer I	II-Bred SYN. GEN. TESTED	nternatio resistant plants	number of plants tested	ISA	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Empoasca fabae)	Application				- 1 - 1 - 1		
	MSA-CW3An3 (R)						
	Ranger (S)				••		
	SCORING SYSTEM:			<u> </u>			
Other (Specify)	Application						
	(R)						
		-					
	(S)	. 1				I	<u> </u>
	SCORING SYSTEM:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>			
NEMATODE RESISTANCE						<u> </u>	
NEMATODE RESISTANCE: NEMATODE		SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
NEMATODE RESISTANCE: NEMATODE Northern Root Knot (Meloidogyne haple)	SCORING SYSTEM:		RESISTANT		ASI		
NEMATODE Northern Root Knot	SCORING SYSTEM:		RESISTANT		ASI		
NEMATODE Northern Root Knot	SCORING SYSTEM: VARIETY Application		RESISTANT		ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY

VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	AS1	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Application						
Moapa 69,(R)	, .					
Lahontan (S)						
SCORING SYSTEM:			······································			
Application MR	2	28.9	~225		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred
Lahontan (R)		50.0	tt		Plants	International, Inc 1990
Ranger (S)		9.5	n		10.5	Connell, WA Laboratory
SCORING SYSTEM: P	lants sc	ored 5-9	(on a 1 - 9 s	cale wh	nere 9=no s	symptoms and 1=dead
plant) consid	dered re	sistant.	Data adjus	ted to	Lahontan a	nt 50% resistant
plants by Pi	oneer Hi	-Bred Inte	rnational,	Inc.		
(A)						
(S)						
•	Moapa 69 (R) Lahontan (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application MR Lahontan (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: P plant) consi plants by Pi (R)	Moapa 69 (R) Lahontan (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application MR 2 Lahontan (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Plants SCOPLANT Considered replants by Pioneer Hi (R) (S)	Moapa 69 (R) Lahontan (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application MR 2 28.9 Lahontan (R) 50.0 Ranger (S) 9.5 SCORING SYSTEM: Plants scored 5-9 plant) considered resistant. Plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred Interest (R) (R)	Moapa G9,(R) Lahontan (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application MR 2 28.9 ~225 Lahontan (R) 50.0 " Ranger (S) 9.5 " SCORING SYSTEM: Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 s plant) considered resistant. Data adjus plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, (R) (S)	Moapa 69,(R) Lahontan (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application MR 2 28.9 ~225 Lahontan (R) 50.0 " Ranger (S) 9.5 " SCORING SYSTEM: Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale wholant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (R) (S)	Mosps 69 (R) Lahontan (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application MR 2 28.9 ~225 % Resistant Plants Ranger (S) 9.5 " 10.5 SCORING SYSTEM: Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9=no splant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Lahontan applants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (R) (S)

CHARACTER	VARIETY	CHARACTER	VARIETY		
Winterhardiness	5262	Plant Color	_		
Recovery After 1st Cut	5472	Crown Type	5432		
Area of Adaptation	5432	Combined Disease Resistance	5364		
Flowering Date	_	Combined Insect Resistance	5432		

REFERENCES

Barnes, D.K. 1972. A System for Visually Classifying Alfalfa Flower Color. U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 424. 18 pp. (Note: Greenish cast of plate 6, A and 8 is an artifact of printing, actual colors a blend of yellow and white.)

Elgin, J.H., Jr., (ed.). 1982. Standard Tests to Characterize Pest Resistance in Alfalfa Cultivars. U.S. Dep. Agric, Tech. Bull. (In Press).

Gunn, C.R., W.H. Skrdla, and H.C. Spencer. 1978. Classification of Medicago sativa L. using legume characters and flower colors. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 1574. 84 pp.

Munsell Color Co., 1977. Munsell Plant Tissue Color Charts. Munsell Color Co., Inc. Baltimore.

NOTE: Any additional descriptive information and supporting documentation may be provided as Exhibit D.

EXHIBIT D

154541

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF ALFALFA VARIETIES FOR CERTIFICATION National Alfalfa Variety Review Board

(The criteria for evaluation of applications were developed by the Joint Alfalfa Work Conference and the Association of Official Seed Certifying Agencies.)

Applicant's Name Pioneer Hi-Bred Int	ernational,	Inc.	Date	11-05-91
Address P.O. Box 287, Johnston, Iow	a 50131			
Sponsoring Institution (if other tha		:)		
Breeder's Name (if other than applic	ant)			
Variety Name Experimental Design	ation(s) XA	L06,	YAL06,	88P1CR2
				
Applicant's Signature				

The breeder or sponsoring institution or organization must describe and DOCUMENT in this application those characteristics of the variety which give it distinctiveness and merit by supplying the information requested below. Information must be supplied for each category excepting those listed as optional. Action will be deferred unless the application is sufficiently documented.

At the time a variety is accepted for certification, a seed sample of the generation or generations requested by the certifying agency shall be submitted to the certifying agency by the sponsor. This lot(s) is to be retained as a control sample against which all future seed stocks released for certified seed production may be compared to establish continued trueness of variety.

I. A. Estimate the % of the germplasm sources listed below that contribute to the major genetic constitution of this variety.

M.falcata	Ladak	M.varia	<u>Turkistan</u>	Flemish	Chilean
2	3	14	2	41	2
Peruvian	Indian	African	Arabian	Unknown	
		•	_,	36	

B. A statement of origin (including variety names, germplasm releases and/or PI numbers, and the number of plants or % contribution from each) and the breeding procedures or methods and selection criteria used in developing the variety. Include the procedure for producing breeder seed, the generation (e.g. Syn 1, Syn 2, etc.) that is considered breeder seed, and the year of breeder seed production.

XAL06 is a 12 clone synthetic with clones replicated in "cage isolation". Seed was harvested from each clone and bulked in equal quantities to produce Syn 1 prebreeder Syn 2 seed harvested from 200 random plants in "cage isolation" in 1988 is considered breeder seed. or more of the parental clones were selected on the basis of clonal evaluation for forage and seed yield, bacterial wilt, anthracnose, Phytophthora root rot, Verticillium wilt, spotted alfalfa aphid, pea aphid and stem nematode. Clonal selection for forage yield was based on OP progeny row tests harvested over several locations. clones trace back through several intermediate experimental lines to Apollo, NCMP-1, Saranac AR, Anchor, Atra 55, 532, 521, 531, 520, 530, DuPuits, Vernal, Narragansett, Culver, Maryland, Dawson, Iroquois, MSA and other germplasms with minor contributions.

C. Seed class to be used, limitations on age of stand and areas of production for each class.

Seed Class	Synthetic Generation	Length of Stand Allowed	Limitation on Acres for Seed Production
Breeder	2	One	None
Foundation	3 or 4	Three	None
Certified	3, 4, or 5	Five	None

Only the synthetic generations given for the above seed classes are recognized as representing this variety. (No supporting data should be used in this application from Syn generations other than those for the Breeder, Foundation and Certified Classes listed here).

D. Procedures for maintaining seed stock:

Breeder seed (Syn 2) produced on 200 plants in "cage isolation" was bulked. Seed classes will be breeder, foundation and certified. Foundation seed may be produced from breeder or foundation. The second generation foundation seed may be produced at the discretion of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Both breeder and foundation seed will be maintained by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Certified seed may be produced from breeder or foundation.

- E. Any other requirements or limitations necessary to maintain varietal characteristics? None
- II. A. Describe the primary use of this variety (if for uses other than hay, haylage, greenchop or dehydration):

B. List states and areas within states where tested for forage and or persistence. (Present data from each location in III.A. and III.B.). Johnston, IA; Owatonna, MN; Hermiston, OR; Toledo, IA; Phelps, NY; Connell, WA; Lancaster, PA; Quarryville, PA; Moses Lake, WA; Davis, IL; Markesan, WI; Arlington, WI; Princeton, IL; Appleton, WI; Eau Claire, WI.

C. List:

- 1. Areas of adaptation. North central, east central, winterhardy intermountain
- 2. Areas of intended use. North central, east central, winterhardy intermountain
- III. Evidence of agronomic performance, including data on yield (in T/A) and persistence. Data may be from tests conducted by private firms, Agricultural Experiment Stations or USDA.
 - A. Minimum required forage yield data is six location years with at least two locations (two locations must be at least 100 miles apart). Seeding year forage yield data cannot be used to satisfy this requirement. One location must have at least two harvest years beyond seeding year. Each harvest year should be listed separately.

Note: For non-dormant varieties (dormancy level of Moapa 69 or CUF 101) seeding year data may be accepted for up to two of the six location years when four or more cuttings are made in the seeding year.

Summarize Forage Yield Data below:

Test Plnt	Date Plntd	Syn	Year	No.	This	Total	Yield	(DM T/A)	LSD	CV%
Location	Mo/Yr	Gen	Hvst	Cuts	Variety	2*	3**	4***	.05	
JOHNSTON, IA	4/89	2 2	90 91	3 4	6.7 7.3	6.1	5.9 5.5	5.8 5.9	0.43 0.48	4.3 4.4
JOHNSTON, IA	4/90	2	91	4	6.1	5.6	4.6	4.5	0.63	7.0
OWATONNA, MN	5/89	2 2	90 91	3 3	5.3 5.9	4.6 5.3	4.5 4.8	4.4 4.8	0.52 0.59	6.3 6.7
OWATONNA, MN	5/90	2	91	3	5.3	5.4	4.7	4.7	0.54	6.3
HERMISTON OR	4/89	2 2	90 91	5 5	10.8 11.2	10.8 10.8	8.8 8.1	9.7 8.1	0.55 0.98	3.2 5.9
HERMISTON OR	4/90	2	91	5	11.9	11.8	10.1	10.6	0.88	4.6
TOLEDO, IA	4/89	2 2	90 91	4 4	8.3 6.9	7.4 6.3	6.7 5.5	6.7 5.9	0.78	6.2 8.1

Page 4 - XAL06

Forage yield data cont.

Test	Date Plntd				Fhis	LSD	CA8			
Location	Mo/Yr	Gen	Hvst	Cut	sVariety	2	_ 3	4	05	
PHELPS, NY	5/89	2 2	90 91	3	6.2 4.3	5.3 3.2	4.6 2.8	4.4	0.51 0.46	5.6 8.5
CONNELL, WA	4/89	2 2	90 91	5 5	$\begin{array}{c} 11.6 \\ 11.1 \end{array}$	10.8 10.1	9.5 8.8	10.5 9.4	0.80 1.28	4.4 7.8
CONNELL, WA	4/90	2	91	5	11.2	10.9	9.0	11.7	1.07	6.0
LANCASTER PA	4/89	2 2	90 91	4 4	6.8 6.7	6.2 5.8	5.0 4.5	5.4 4.5	0.66 0.87	6.5 8.5
LANCASTER PA	6/90	2	91	3	4.0	3.1	3.3	3.7	0.60	9.9
QUARRYVILLE PA	4/89	2 2	90 91	5 5	6.6 5.4	5.9 4.6	4.0	4.6 3.1	0.70 0.76	7.3 9.7
QUARRYVILLE PA	4/90	2	91	5	6.2	5.5	4.5	5.4	1.03	11.4
MOSES LAKE WA	4/89	2 2	90 91	5 4	9.7 9.8	9.4 9.4	7.4 7.0	8.4 7.1	0.68 0.77	4.3 5.1
MOSES LAKE	4/90	2	91	4	10.5	10.5	9.1	9.9	0.85	5.0
DAVIS, IL	4/89	2 2	90 91	4	7.7 6.7	7.0 6.5	6.2 5.9	6.7 5.7	0.43 0.87	3.7 8.4
MARKESAN WI	4/89	2 2	90 91	4	7.4 6.3	7.1 6.1	5.7 5.2	6.0 5.8	0.47 0.72	4.1 6.9
MARKESAN WI	4/90	2	91	3	7.1	6.2	5.5	5.7	0.63	5.9
ARLINGTON WI	4/89	2	90 91	4	7.2 6.5	7.4 6.3	5.7 5.0	6.7 5.8	0.67 0.70	5.7 6.6
ARLINGTON WI	4/90	2	91	4	6.4	6.0	5.4	5.9	0.42	4.1
PRINCETON IL	4/89	2	90	4	4.7	4.2	3.8	3.9	0.26	3.8
PRINCETON IL	4/90	2	91	4	7.6	7.1	6.5	6.6	0.61	5.1
APPLETON WI	5/89	2	90	3	7.6	7.5	6.3	6.9	0.67	5.4
APPLETON WI	4/90	2	91	3	5.2	5.1	4.3	4.4	0.48	6.6

Forage yield data cont.

	_	Date			_			d (DM T	/A)		
	est	Plntd Mo/Yr			No.Th:		LSD 2.	_ 3	4	.05	
EAU WI	CLAIRE	5/89	2	90	4	6.0	5.6	5.0	5.1	0.59	6.6
EAU WI	CLAIRE	5/90	2	91	3	6.1	5.7	5.4	5.7	0.60	5.2

Mean Annual Yield

Ck 2 comparison	Years Hvstd 45	Total No.of Hvsts 161	7.2	6.8		
Ck 3 comparison	45	161	7.2		5.8	
Ck 4 comparison	45	_161	7.2		_ (5.2

Persistence (winter and drought tolerance, summer survival В. relative to check varieties). Enter dates of both Initial and Final stand estimates. Data must come from the area of adaptation and from stands at least two years old.

More than one location must be given either when persistence is a trait used to justify release or when large diverse geographic areas of adaption are recommended.

Test Loc.			d Hvtd	No.	Date of Readings Init/Fina (Yr/Mo)		Check *	Stand Varieti ** In/Fnl	***	LSD 11	CV%
1.	2	89/4	3	9	89/6 91/8	98.6 93.5	99.1 93.1	98.6 91.2	100.0		
2.	2	89/4	3	10	89/5 91/9	96.8 95.8	95.8 94.9	93.5 78.2	94.4 69.0		
3.	2	89/4	3	12	89/4 91/9	98.2 93.1	98.6 93.1	95.4 84.3	96.3 92.6		
1. JO	HNSTC	N, IA				* 543	12				

2. QUARRYVILLE, PA ** VERNAL

Scoring System used: Data taken as missing six inch units within each plot with total plot size = 72 units.

VERNAL

SARANAC

^{3.} HERMISTON, OR *** SARANAC

Page 6 - XAL06

C. Fall dormancy as determined from spaced plantings relative to recognized varieties; check varieties must be chosen so as to bracket the dormancy data of this variety.

1. Test data

Test Location	Syn Gen	Date Last Cut (Yr/Mo)	Date Measured (Yr/Mo)	Score o This Variety	Check	Varie	ties	LSD .05	CV%
Johnston, IA	2	90/9	90/10	11.3	8.4	9.7	11.8	1.4	10.0

^{1. =} Vernal

Scoring system used: Average height in cm of space plants; six replications

 Indicate which of the following check varieties this variety most nearly compares to in fall dormancy.

VERY DORMANT	DORMANT	MODERATELY DO	ORMANT	NON-DORMANT	VERY NON-DO	RMANT
Norseman ()	Vernal(Ranger() Saranac) DuPuits Lahontan	(x) ()	Mesilla () Moapa 69()	CUF 101	()

IV. Other descriptive characteristics

A. Flower color at full bloom. Syn generation observed

2 (see USDA Agriculture Handbook No. 424 - A System
for Visually Classifying Alfalfa Flower Color).

```
96 % purple t % cream t % yellow 4 % variegated t % white
```

 B. (Document other distinctive characteristics such as pod, leaf or root traits, biochemical markers, etc.)

^{2. =} Ranger

^{3. =} Saranac

V. Pest Resistance Characteristics

PLEASE FOLLOW THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY WHEN REPORTING PEST RESISTANCE RESULTS.

Furnish comparative data on the following insects and diseases (and others where applicable) for your variety. may be from tests conducted by private firms, Agricultural Experiment Stations, or USDA. Tests should be conducted by standard procedures as described in ARS Misc. publication 1434. Each disease and insect test must include published resistant and susceptible checks. Statistics must include the test mean (mean of all entries in test), LSD (.05), and CV (%) for unadjusted % resistance and ASI data that are reported. Resistance levels should be characterized using % resistant plants as follows: S=<6%, LR=6-14%, MR=15-30%, $\bar{R}=31-50%$, $HR=>\bar{5}0%$. Do not refer to tolerance. Checks should be characterized based on long term % resistance averages published in ARS Misc. publication 1434. data for the resistant check does not fit its expected resistance class (MR, R, HR, etc.) data must be adjusted to the long term mean for the published resistant check. If data has been adjusted, supply both adjusted and unadjusted values and indicate how and by whom the adjustment was made.

At the time a variety is accepted for certification, a seed sample of the generation or generations requested by the certifying agency shall be submitted to the certifying agency by the sponsor. This lot(s) is to be retained as a control sample against which all future seed stocks released for certified seed production may be compared to establish continued trueness of variety.

If a scoring or rating system is used, specify the limits and meaning of scores. NOTE: If a pest reaction of the variety falls on or just above a resistance category level (+2% for LR, MR, and R; +3% for HR) and the higher rating is claimed, results of a second test must be reported. If the two tests do not agree, the lower rating is appropriate unless further testing supports the higher rating. Pest resistance data must be submitted on at least six of the following nine pests: anthracnose, bacterial wilt, Fusarium wilt, Verticillium wilt, Phytophthora root rot, stem nematode, pea aphid, spotted alfalfa aphid, and blue alfalfa aphid. For the pests where no data is available enter "Not tested". The six required pests must be selected from those that frequently cause significant losses on susceptible cultivars in the area of intended use of this variety. Show generation of seed used for each test.

ANTHRACNOSE (Race 1)

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Johnston, IA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	HR	1989	2	51.5	73.0	
1. ARC	HR			45.9	65.0	
2. SARANAC 3.	S			0.0	0.0	
Test Me	an:			30.8	42.7	
L.S.D.				13.0	18.4	
C.V. (8				19.0	19.0	

Scoring system used: % surviving seedlings. Data adjusted to ARC at 65% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

BACTERIAL WILT

Test conducted by University of Minnesota at Rosemount, MN

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	R	1991	2	41.6	47.8	2.18
1. VERNAL	R	•		36.5	42.0	2.22
2. NARRAGANS 3.	SETT S	•		0.7	0.8	4.00
Test Me	ean:			47.3	54.5	1.88
L.S.D.				14.4	16.5	0.45
C.V. (9				18.8	18.8	14.80

Scoring system used: Plants scored 0 and 1 (on a 0-5 scale, where 0 = no disease, and 5 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Vernal at 42% resistant plants by the University of Minnesota.

FUSARIUM WILT

Test conducted by University of Minnesota at Rosemount, MN

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	HR	1991	2	52.7	59.3	2.37
1. AGATE 2. NARRAGANS	HR ETT MR			48.0 20.2	54.0 22.7	2.71 3.89
3. MNGN-1	S			4.6	5.1	4.69
Test Me	an:			53.4	60.0	2.49
L.S.D.	(.05)			15.9	17.8	0.66
C.V. (%				18.5	18.5	16.60

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 0 and 1 (on a 0-5 scale where 0 = no disease, and 5 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Agate at 54% resistant plants by the University of Minnesota.

VERTICILLIUM WILT

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Arlington, WI

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	MR	1989	2	17.7	22.8	2.69
1. VERTUS	R			31.0	40.0	3.16
2. SARANAC 3.	S			3.0	3.9	1.60
Test Me	ean:			19.9	23.4	2.60
L.S.D.	(.05)			11.6	14.9	0.55
C.V. (%				47.0	47.0	17.00

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9 = no disease and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Vertus at 40% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

PHYTOPHTHORA ROOT ROT

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Quarryville, PA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	HR	1989	2	37.6	56.5	
1. AGATE	R			28.7	43.0	
2. SARANAC 3.	S			3.2	4.8	
Test Me	an•			24.0	36.0	
L.S.D.				11.8	17.7	
C.V. (9				35.0	35.0	

Scoring system used: % healthy seedlings

STEM NEMATODE

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Connell, WA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	MR	1990	2	20.8	28.9	
1. LAHONTAN	R		•	35.9	50.0	
2. RANGER 3.	S			6.8	9.5	
Test Me	an:			23.6	32.9	
L.S.D.	(.05)			7.5	10.5	
C.V. (%)			20.0	20.0	

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale, where 9 = no symptoms and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Lahontan at 50% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

PEA APHID

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Johnston, IA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	R	1991	2	44.7	44.3	
1. BAKER	R		•	45.4	45.0	•
2. VERNAL 3.	S	·		4.0	4.0	
Test I	Mean:			36.3	36.0	
L.S.D	. (.05)			10.3	10.2	
c.v.	(%)			18.0	18.0	

Scoring system used: Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9=tall, healthy, plant and 1= dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Baker at 45% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

SPOTTED ALFALFA APHID

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Kerman, CA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or
Test Variety	R	1991	2	32.0	42.2	
1. BAKER	R			38.0	50.0	
2. ARC 3.	S			0.0	0.0	
Test Mean:				30.3	39.9	
L.S.D. (.05)				10.9	14.4	
C.V. (%)				23.0	23.0	

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9 = no damage and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Baker at 50% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

BLUE ALFALFA APHID Test conducted by			at				
Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested		Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or	
Test Variety	<u> </u>	NOT	TESTE	ED	<u> </u>		
2.							
Test Mea L.S.D. (C.V. (%)	(.05)						

OTHER PEST EVALUATIONS

APHANOMYCES ROOT ROT

Scoring system used:

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Arlington, WI

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	LR	1990	2	8.9	8.3	3.01
1. WAPH-1	R			53.8	50.0	5.56
2. AGATE 3.	S	·		1.3	1.2	1.82
Test Mean:				6.5	6.1	2.30
L.S.D. (.05)				6.5	6.0	0.70
C.V. (%)			71.0	71.0	23.00	

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 7-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9 = no disease on roots or hypocotyls and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to WAPH-1 at 50% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

Page 13 - XAL06

Please attach a one page description/summary of your variety as you wish it published by AOSCA. This description must stand on its own; please use complete sentences and number each item following the format given below.

Include the following:

- A statement of genetic origin (including variety names, germplasm releases, and/or PI numbers that contributed to the major genetic constitution of this variety) and the breeding procedures, methods, and selection criteria used in developing the variety. Estimate the % of the major germplasm sources contributing to this cultivar. (see I.A.)
- 2. Area of probable adaptation and use (geographic area) and primary purpose (hay, grazing, etc.) for which this variety will be used. Report states where the variety has been tested for yield and persistence and proposed areas of intended use.
- 3. Descriptive characteristics such as fall dormancy, flower color, and any other morphological or physiological characteristics that may be used as identifying traits.
- 4. A statement relative to the varieties resistance to anthracnose, bacterial wilt, Fusarium wilt, Verticillium wilt, Phytophthora root rot, stem nematode, pea aphid, spotted alfalfa aphid, and blue alfalfa aphid and other evaluated pests.
- 5. Procedures for maintaining seed stock, seed classes to be used, a statement as to the limitation of age of stand and generations that may be certified and other requirements or limitations necessary to maintain varietal characteristics.
- 6. If this variety is accepted by official certifying agencies, when will certified seed first be offered for sale?
- 7. Will application be made for protection under the Plant Variety Protection Act and if so, will the certification option be requested?
- 8. As a means of added varietal protection, are you willing to have the information in this application turned over to the PVP office?

XAL06

- 1. XAL06 is a 12 clone synthetic with parents originating from several intermediate experimental lines tracing to Apollo, NCMP-1, Saranac AR, Anchor, Atra 55, 532, 521, 531, 520, 530, DuPuits, Vernal, Narragansett, Culver, Maryland, Dawson, Iroquois, MSA and other germplasms with minor contributions. Parent clones were selected from several experimental populations for one or more of the following: Seed yield, bacterial wilt, anthracnose, Phytophthora root rot, Verticillium wilt, spotted alfalfa aphid, pea aphid and stem nematode. In addition, parental clones were evaluated for forage yield and pest resistance using OP progeny tests at several locations. Germplasm sources are: M. falcata (2%), Ladak (3%), M. varia (14%), Turkistan (2%), Flemish (41%), Chilean (2%), with (36%) unknown.
- 2. XAL06 is adapted to and intended for use in the north central, east central and winterhardy intermountain regions of the United States for hay, haylage, greenchop and dehydration. The states in which XAL06 have been tested are: Iowa, Illinois, Minnesota, New York, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Oregon and Washington.
- 3. XAL06 is a moderately dormant cultivar with fall dormancy similar to Saranac. Flower color in the Syn 2 generation is approximately 96% purple, 4% variegated and a trace of yellow, white and cream. Growth habit is erect in midsummer and semi-erect in the fall.
- 4. XAL06 has high resistance to anthracnose (Race 1), Fusarium wilt and Phytophthora root rot; resistance to bacterial wilt, spotted alfalfa aphid and pea aphid; moderate resistance to Verticillium wilt and stem nematode; low resistance to Aphanomyces root rot (Race 1). XAL06 has not been tested for blue alfalfa aphid.
- 5. Prebreeders seed (Syn 1) was produced on replicated clones in "cage isolation". Seed was harvested from each clone and bulked in equal quantities. Breeder seed (Syn 2) was produced on 200 randomly selected plants in "cage isolation" and bulked. Seed classes will be breeder, foundation (Syn 3 or Syn 4) and certified (Syn 3, Syn 4, or Syn 5). Foundation seed may be produced from breeder or foundation. The second generation foundation seed may be produced at the discretion of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Limitations of age of stand will be three and five years, respectively, for foundation and certified seed.
- 6. Seed will be marketed in the spring of 1993.
- 7. Application for Plant Variety Protection will be made and the certification option will not be requested.
- 8. As a means of added varietal protection, information included with the Application for Review of Alfalfa Variety for Certification may be provided to the PVP office.

EXHIBIT E

STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF APPLICANT'S OWNERSHIP

154541

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., Des Moines, Iowa, is the employer of the plant breeders involved in the development and evaluation of 5454. Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. has the sole rights and ownership of 5454.